

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_113065
Title of the Manuscript:	Beyond Shelter: Exploring the roots of homelessness in Nepal through multifaceted Lens of social and Demographic Dynamics
Type of the Article	Original research

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. Yes, a Manuscript is very important and useful for understanding social issues in the community, to developed social welfare policy 2. Title of the article suitable and appropriate 3. The abstract needs to cover the research methodology, and results should be maintained appropriately and to the point. 4. The methodology needs to write appropriately, result and discussion need to reframe the result analysis table then the discussion writing and consent must be part of the methodology. 5. Yes, but I think tools of data collection reliability and validity must be required, if any kind of validity is processed then you should write in the article 6. References are sufficient and appropriate same of reference, not relevant to the study Research methodology terms are used appropriately, results not be generalized, and the conclusion must with aim based and commonly understandable	Okay
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language/ English same sentence needs to be reframed	
Optional/General comments	Researchers need to understand Research design Change basic things Use validity and reliability for questioners or use standard validated tools	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	